

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,333	03/29/2004	John J. Giobbi	247079-000087USCI	2703
70243 7590 04/09/2010 NIXON PEABODY LLP		EXAMINER		
300 S. Riverside Plaza			HALL, ARTHUR O	
16th Floor CHICAGO, II	, 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/812,333	GIOBBI, JOHN J.			
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ARTHUR O. HALL	3714			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
1) <u>ARTHUR O. HALL</u> . (3)					
(2) <u>Joey Yao</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 31 March 2010	Time: <u>2:30 pm</u>				
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes ଔ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a)					
Claims discussed: 55, 71					
Prior art documents discussed: Wiltshire et al. (US6,409,602), Dickinson et al. (US6,224,485), D	dunn (US6,089,975)				
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Arthur O Hall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/812,333

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and applicant discussed that Dickinson et al. (US6,224,485) in combination with Dunn (US6,089,975) was an expressly clear rejection of the claimed invention, and that applicant would consider amendments that may obviate any further rejection of the claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance..